Global Academic Frontiers, Vol. 3, Issue 1, 2025, pp.11-27 Print ISSN 2995-5688 Online ISSN 2995-570X
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15074505

Genetic Modification Technology and Food Security: Opportunities, Challenges and Response Strategies

Yi Hu [1,*] Ye Chen [1]

[1] Hubei Key Laboratory of Economic Forest Germplasm Improvement and Resources Comprehensive Utilization, Hubei Collaborative Innovation Center for the Characteristic Resources Exploitation of Dabie Mountain, Huanggang Normal University, Huanggang 438000, China

*Corresponding author Email: 18164053837@163.com

Received 8 March 2025; Accepted 12 March 2025; Published 24 March 2025

Abstract: Against the background of global population growth, climate change and the increasing tension of arable land resources, how to ensure food security has become a major issue that needs to be addressed by the international community. As an efficient and precise means of genetic modification, transgenic technology has demonstrated remarkable potential in increasing crop yield, enhancing stress resistance and optimising nutritional quality. However, the large-scale application of this technology is still faced with scientific risks such as genetic drift and ecological balance disruption, as well as multiple challenges such as divergence of public opinion, ethical controversies, and lack of regulatory systems. Based on systematic literature research and case study analysis, this paper firstly compiles the major bottlenecks facing global food security and points out the shortcomings of traditional agricultural technologies in coping with extreme environments and resource scarcity; then it elaborates on the principles and successful practices of biotechnologies such as genetic modification and gene editing, and explores the advantages and constraints of these technologies in increasing agricultural yields and sustainable development; finally, it raises questions from the dimensions of technological research and development, public education, law and regulation, and international co-operation. Finally, the study proposes strategies in the dimensions of technology research and development, public education, law and regulation, and international co-operation, and emphasises the importance of multi-party collaboration and long-term monitoring to ensure the safe application and social acceptance of GM technology. The results of this study provide useful references for relevant policy makers, research institutions and the public to understand the opportunities and challenges of GM technology, and lay a theoretical and practical foundation for further improving the food security governance system and achieving the goal of global poverty reduction.

Keywords： Genetic modification technology, Food security, Gene editing, Ecological risk,International cooperation

1 Introduction

1.1 Background of the Study

Food security is a significant challenge confronting the international community. As the global population continues to grow, climate change intensifies, and arable land resources become increasingly scarce, all countries must navigate a critical balance between food production and ecological protection. According to a report by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), the global population is projected to reach 9.8 billion by 2050. To meet the ever-expanding demand for food, agricultural production efficiency must increase by approximately 60 to 70 percent.[1] However, the production model dominated by traditional agricultural technologies has gradually revealed its limitations, including difficulties in responding swiftly to frequent extreme weather events, the spread of pests and diseases, land degradation, and water scarcity. These challenges severely hinder the sustainable development of agricultural production.

In recent years, transgenic technology has emerged as a highly efficient and precise method for genetic improvement, offering a significant solution to the challenge of global food security. This technology enables substantial enhancements in crop traits within a short timeframe through genetic recombination or gene editing. For instance, it facilitates the development of new crop varieties that exhibit high yields and increased resistance to pests, diseases, drought, and salinity. However, this technology is also met with considerable controversy regarding safety risks, social ethics, and policy regulation[2]. Consequently, determining how to maximize the benefits of transgenic technology while ensuring ecological and food safety has become a critical issue in the realm of global agricultural research.

1.2 Significance of the Study

By systematically analyzing the major challenges to global food security and the limitations of traditional agricultural technologies, this study explores the potential of genetically modified (GM) technology in agricultural production, as well as the various risks it encounters. The aim is to provide significant theoretical references and practical foundations for governmental decision-making, technological research and development (R&D) by scientific research institutions, and public education. Additionally, through comprehensive analysis and clear explanations, this study seeks to promote a rational understanding of GM technology among the public, reduce social misconceptions and biases, and foster a more open and scientifically informed public discourse. Furthermore, by employing a multi-dimensional analysis of strategies, this research can facilitate the healthy and orderly advancement of GM technology within a safe and manageable framework, ultimately contributing to the enhancement of global food security.

1.3 Research Methodology and Framework

This study employs a methodology that combines a literature review with case analysis. The literature primarily consists of authoritative academic journals from both domestic and international sources, as well as relevant policy reports issued by international organizations such as the FAO and CGIAR. This approach integrates the promotion practices of genetically modified (GM) crops in selected countries and regions to conduct a thorough analysis of the effectiveness of GM technology and the actual challenges encountered.

The structure of the paper is organized as follows:

(1) Analysis of Food Security Challenges

An in-depth discussion of the primary challenges currently confronting global food security, as well as the limitations of traditional agricultural technology, is essential to establish a foundation for understanding the genuine needs for transgenic technology.

(2) Application of Transgenic Technology in Food Production

This text aims to systematically introduce the principles of transgenic and gene editing technologies, highlight typical successful cases, and explore their potential applications in enhancing food production efficiency and ensuring food security.

(3) Challenges to Food Security Associated with GM Technology

This text focuses on the scientific and technological challenges, social and ethical controversies, as well as the policy and regulatory bottlenecks associated with the application of genetically modified (GM) technology. It also aims to clarify the potential risks involved in the process of applying this technology.

(4) Strategies for Addressing Food Security Challenges Through GM Technology

Specific strategies are proposed to strengthen technology research and development, enhance scientific verification, improve public scientific knowledge, establish a comprehensive legal regulatory system, and promote international technical cooperation.

(5) Case Studies and Future Prospects

This study analyzes the practical effects of applying genetically modified (GM) technology, using specific international and Chinese local cases. It also addresses the challenges faced by this technology and anticipates future development trends in GM technology, aiming to provide practical support for the study's conclusions.

2 Analysis of food security challenges

2.1 Key challenges to global food security

Global food security is currently facing numerous significant challenges, particularly those posed by climate change and environmental degradation. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), the global decline in agricultural production attributable to climate change has surpassed 20 percent over the past 30 years[3]. Frequent climate-related events, such as droughts, floods, and extreme heat, have substantially increased uncertainty in agricultural output and have severely threatened the stability of the food supply. Concurrently, the reduction of arable land due to soil degradation, water scarcity, and ecological damage has greatly restricted the geographical scope and scale of food production. Furthermore, many developing countries continue to face significant deficiencies in agricultural infrastructure, investment in scientific and technological innovation, and political and economic stability, which further exacerbate the vulnerability of local food supply chains[4].

At the same time, the continued rapid growth of the global population, projected to reach 9.8 billion by

2050, will exert enormous pressure on food security, indicating that the demand for food will increase by nearly 70 percent compared to current levels. Additionally, rapid urbanization has led to a reduction in the agricultural labor force, a loss of arable land, and shifts in agricultural production methods, resulting in a significant slowdown in food output growth. This challenge is further exacerbated by imbalances in international food trade, where countries with greater production capacity may also encounter difficulties in achieving timely and efficient allocation of food resources due to trade barriers or market volatility, thereby exposing poorer regions to a heightened risk of hunger[5].

2.2 Limitations of traditional agricultural technologies

Traditional breeding methods typically rely on natural crossbreeding and artificial selection, necessitating multiple generations of breeding and selection. This process can span years to decades and is significantly influenced by environmental changes and unforeseen factors. For instance, traditional maize breeding improvements generally require 6 to 10 years to select varieties that are well-suited to specific climatic conditions, pests, and diseases. This lengthy timeline severely limits agriculture's ability to adapt to rapidly changing environments[6]. Furthermore, the over-reliance on chemical fertilizers and pesticides in traditional agriculture, while capable of significantly increasing yields in the short term, has resulted in long-term ecological issues such as declining soil fertility, environmental pollution, and reduced biodiversity. In the Punjab region of India, for example, the prolonged and irrational use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides has exacerbated soil pollution, leading to a serious problem where farmland in some areas faces the risk of productivity degradation or yield reduction[7].

Therefore, in light of global population growth and a rapidly changing agro-ecological environment, traditional agricultural technologies struggle to respond swiftly to emerging challenges. Consequently, there is an urgent need to explore more efficient, precise, and sustainable agricultural technologies to achieve food security.

3. Application of transgenic technology in food production

As an important branch of modern biotechnology, transgenic technology mainly includes two major directions: transgenic breeding and gene editing.

3.1 Overview of genetically modified technologies

(1)Transgenic Breeding

Transgenic breeding refers to the process of acquiring specific desirable traits, such as insect resistance, drought tolerance, and high yield, by introducing exogenous genes into the genome of the target crop. This process typically involves the following steps[8].

Selection and Cloning of Target Genes: First, genes exhibiting desired traits are screened from various organisms and cloned using molecular biology techniques.

The construction of gene vectors involves inserting target genes into suitable vectors, such as plasmids, to facilitate their introduction into plant cells.

Gene Introduction: The target gene carried by the vector is introduced into the plant cell using

techniques such as the Agrobacterium-mediated method or the gene gun method.
Screening and Regeneration: Cells that have successfully integrated the target gene are selected through resistance screening and other methods, and are then induced to differentiate and regenerate into complete plants.
A typical example is the introduction of the insect-resistant gene from Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) into maize, which enables the plant to produce proteins that are toxic to specific pests, thereby effectively resisting pest attacks.
(2) Gene editing technology
Gene editing technology, exemplified by CRISPR/Cas9 and other methods, facilitates trait enhancement through the precise modification of a crop's genome. The CRISPR/Cas9 system is based on the immune mechanisms of bacteria and comprises two primary components[8].
Cas9 Nuclease: An Enzyme That Cleaves DNA.
Guide RNA (gRNA) is a segment of RNA that is complementary to a specific target DNA sequence, directing the Cas9 enzyme to a precise gene locus.
It operates as follows.
The design of gRNA involves creating a guide RNA (gRNA) that is complementary to the target gene sequence.
The guide RNA (gRNA) binds to the Cas9 protein to form a complex.
DNA cleavage occurs when the complex, guided by the gRNA, is localized to the target gene site, and Cas9 cleaves the double-stranded DNA.
DNA Repair: The cell repairs the break in its DNA through its intrinsic repair mechanisms, during which specific genetic alterations may be introduced.
Unlike traditional transgenic breeding, gene editing technology does not necessitate the introduction of foreign genes; instead, it directly modifies the target gene, thereby minimizing the potential impact of exogenous genes on the ecosystem. Furthermore, advanced off-target detection and assessment methods can further mitigate the risk of uncertainty.
(3)Common features
The common feature of these two types of technologies is their ability to achieve targeted improvements in crop traits within a relatively short timeframe, overcoming the limitations of the lengthy cycles and low efficiency associated with traditional breeding methods. These technologies offer more efficient and precise tools for agricultural production and are anticipated to play a crucial role in ensuring food security and addressing environmental changes.

3.2 Successful Cases of Transgenic Technology

There have been numerous successful applications of genetically modified (GM) technology in agriculture, which have significantly improved the resistance, yield, and nutritional value of crops. Several representative cases are described in detail below.
(1)Bt maize and insect-resistant cotton flowers
Bt crops are developed by incorporating the insect-resistant gene from Bacillus thuringiensis (commonly referred to as Bt), enabling the plants to produce proteins that are toxic to specific pests. This genetic

modification enhances the plants' resistance to insect damage.
Bt Corn: Data from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) indicate that since the introduction of Bt corn in 1996, corn growers in the United States have significantly decreased their reliance on chemical pesticides, while average crop yields have increased by approximately 5 to 10 percent[9].
Insect-Resistant Cotton: The introduction of Bt cotton in India has significantly reduced losses for cotton farmers in pest-prone areas, increasing yields by up to 20 percent or more in certain regions. This improvement has correspondingly raised farmers' incomes, thereby effectively promoting local economic development[10].
In China, scientists have independently developed intellectual property rights for Bt insecticidal proteins, including monovalent, bivalent, and fusion gene technologies, as well as efficient gene conversion techniques. They have cultivated a substantial number of high-yield, high-quality, and insect-resistant cotton varieties with excellent adaptability. The widespread adoption and application of these varieties have allowed China to reverse its market share in just ten years, and by 2007, the country had achieved a completely dominant position.
(2)Drought- and salt-resistant crops
In response to the challenges posed by climate-induced drought and soil salinization, scientists have developed drought- and salt-resistant crops, such as rice and wheat, using transgenic technology and have planted them in pilot areas. Studies have demonstrated that the yields of these crops in drought-prone and high-salinity environments have increased by an average of 15 to 30 percent compared to traditional varieties, offering innovative solutions for food security in impoverished or extreme environmental conditions[11].
(3) ‘Golden Rice’ is a genetically modified rice variety designed to enrich the endosperm with beta-carotene, a precursor to vitamin A. This innovation seeks to address the health issues associated with vitamin A deficiency in developing countries. According to statistics, vitamin A deficiency results in the deaths of 670,000 children under the age of five each year[12].
Although genetically modified (GM) technology has demonstrated significant potential to enhance agricultural productivity and address environmental challenges, its application in the field of food security continues to face several obstacles. These challenges primarily exist at the scientific and technological levels, the social and ethical levels, and the policy and regulatory levels.

3.3 Failure cases

(1). Resistant pests and ‘superweed’ problems

Emergence of Herbicide-Resistant Weeds: Following the widespread adoption of herbicide-tolerant crops, such as glyphosate-resistant transgenic soybeans and corn, in the United States, certain wild weed species have rapidly developed resistance due to the prolonged use of single-mode herbicides. This has led to the emergence of so-called weeds, farmers are compelled to either increase the quantity of herbicides applied or opt for higher concentrations or more toxic alternative agents. This approach not only escalates production costs but also exerts greater pressure on the ecological environment[13].

Risk of Insect Resistance Decline: Some insect-resistant transgenic crops, such as Bt maize and Bt cotton, may experience a gradual weakening or complete ineffectiveness of their original resistance proteins against certain pests due to long-term selection pressure from pest populations. Once a pest evolves resistance, it becomes essential to implement strategies to slow the evolution of resistance. This can be achieved through crop rotation, the stacking of different insecticidal proteins, or the adoption of integrated biological management strategies. In the absence of a science-based resistance management program, the associated risks and costs can escalate significantly over time[14].

(2). Obstacles to the promotion of ‘Golden Rice’.

Obstacles at the Social and Policy Levels: Academics and international organizations generally view golden rice positively regarding its potential to address the public health issue of vitamin A deficiency. However, in certain countries or regions, public skepticism regarding the safety and environmental impact of genetically modified (GM) foods persists. This skepticism, combined with a stringent approval process, public opposition, and resistance from activists, has hindered the smooth commercialization of golden rice.

Insufficient Promotion Channels and Supporting Measures: Even in the approved regions, 'golden rice' seeds encounter high breeding costs, inadequate promotion channels, and insufficient training for farmers, among other practical challenges. In some economically underdeveloped areas, the absence of a comprehensive agricultural extension system and policy support results in the variety not effectively reaching farmers. Consequently, the technical potential cannot be fully realized, making it difficult to achieve the anticipated nutritional improvement effects.

(3). The plight of some Bt cotton growers in India

Early Success and Late Divergence: Following the introduction of Bt cotton in India, cotton yields initially improved significantly, with some regions experiencing increases of over 20% and a reduction in the use of chemical pesticides. However, over time, as seed prices rose and certain pests began to develop increased resistance, economic returns started to diverge in various areas[15].

Business Risks for Smallholders: The prices of Bt cotton seeds are relatively high, and seed retention rights are limited. Small farmers with insufficient capital and technical resources are likely to face financial difficulties if farming is poorly managed, counterfeit seeds proliferate, or crop yields unexpectedly decline. This highlights the lack of complementary measures, such as training for farmers, credit support, and market regulation, in the promotion of genetically modified (GM) crops, which ultimately exacerbates the potential risks associated with the application of this technology.

(4). Comprehensive reflection: GM technology is not ‘once and for all’.

The cases presented above demonstrate that the successful implementation of genetically modified (GM) technology necessitates multiple safeguards across scientific, regulatory, economic, and social dimensions. In the absence of supportive crop rotation systems, effective resistance management, agronomic training, and robust policy regulation, GM crops are unlikely to deliver the anticipated benefits in localized areas. Furthermore, they may contribute to new environmental challenges, such as the emergence of superweeds and the degradation of pest resistance, as well as economic issues, including high seed costs and unstable farmer incomes. Consequently, GM technology should not be viewed as a standalone solution; rather, it must be integrated with sustainable agro-ecosystem management and sound policy support to minimize risks and achieve the objectives of stable yields and increased incomes.

4. Challenges to food security based on transgenic technologies

4.1 Challenges at the scientific and technological level

Firstly, large-scale cultivation of genetically modified (GM) crops may result in genetic drift, which refers to the hybridization of GM crops with wild relatives or traditional varieties. This process can adversely affect the stability of local ecosystems. Such gene flow may lead to genetic contamination, resulting in the unintended alteration of non-GM crops and ultimately impacting biodiversity[16].

Secondly, the potential impact of genetically modified (GM) crops on non-target organisms, such as pollinators and soil microorganisms, is not yet fully understood. While proponents assert that GM foods are safe, opponents express concern that most current safety studies are short-term and do not adequately assess the risks associated with long-term consumption of GM foods. Furthermore, the cultivation of GMOs may facilitate the transfer of genes from foreign varieties into conventional organisms, leading to genetic contamination.

In addition, although gene editing technology is considered a more precise tool, studies have indicated that off-target effects, albeit at very low frequencies, still occur and may lead to unexpected gene mutations. Therefore, it is crucial to mitigate these risks through rigorous molecular biology testing and evaluation.

In order to enhance the scientific reliability and sustainability of transgenic technology, research institutions should increase their investment in basic research, off-target detection technologies, and long-term ecological monitoring. Additionally, they should continuously improve technical tools and assessment methods.

4.2 Social and Ethical Challenges

There are significant differences in public acceptance of genetically modified (GM) foods. Some consumers express concerns about potential health risks and often rely on mass media or social networks for fragmented information, which can lead to cognitive biases or misunderstandings. Additionally, ethical controversies, such as whether GM technology is and the issue of patent monopolies, have sparked multi-level discussions and opposition across various cultures[17].

At the international level, several countries and regions have established relatively successful practices in public education and science communication. For instance, the United States promotes the science of genetically modified (GM) crops within communities through university Extension Programs. Additionally, many countries in the European Union host open days at official scientific research institutes, allowing the public to engage in gene editing experiments firsthand. Research has demonstrated that transparency of information and interactive science communication can significantly enhance public understanding and acceptance of GM technology.

Therefore, in promoting genetically modified crops, it is essential to establish an effective public communication mechanism. This involves actively listening to diverse perspectives, addressing misunderstandings, and fostering trust through scientific communication and education.

4.3 Challenges at the Policy and Regulatory Level

Currently, there are significant differences in the regulatory standards and enforcement of genetically modified (GM) technology and food across various countries. The United States, relying on empirical evidence, emphasizes scientific assessment and ex-post regulation. In contrast, the European Union adopts a more cautious approach, requiring strict prior approval and labeling systems. Emerging economies, such as China, focus more on public opinion and consumer rights while enhancing their regulatory frameworks. Meanwhile, some developing countries have yet to establish comprehensive risk assessment and labeling systems, resulting in inadequate consumer awareness and market confusion[18].

At the international trade level, regulatory inconsistencies among countries have led to resistance against genetically modified crops in some nations, which have established technical barriers. These challenges hinder transnational agricultural cooperation and trade. International organizations, such as the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), are working to promote the harmonization of regulatory standards and risk assessment methodologies to create a more favorable policy environment for the cross-border distribution and application of genetically modified crops.

Therefore, one of the key issues that must be addressed is how to effectively integrate the safety assessment and regulatory systems for transgenic crops on a global scale in order to reduce trade barriers.

4.4 The Impact of Intellectual Property Rights and Patent Monopolies

As research and development (R&D) of genetically modified (GM) crops often necessitates substantial investment in capital and human resources, intellectual property rights and patent protection play a crucial role in providing revenue security and incentives for innovation for research institutes or enterprises that possess core technology. However, while patents serve to protect inventions, they are frequently perceived as a ‘barrier to entry’ that can establish a de facto monopoly within the crop seed supply chain, thereby hindering technology access for small and medium-sized R&D organizations and farmers. Specifically, the following aspects warrant further exploration and in-depth analysis:

1. R&D costs and seed prices

Patent Layout and High Costs: International seed industry giants frequently possess the rights to utilize gene editing tools (e.g., CRISPR/Cas9) and essential transgenic trait genes through their extensive patent portfolios. Small and medium-sized enterprises, as well as research institutes, are often required to pay substantial royalties or acquire exclusive licenses for the secondary development or cultivation of transgenic varieties,The significant increase in research and development (R&D) costs is a critical factor.

Market Pricing and Profit Model: In light of substantial R&D and patent investments, large seed companies frequently establish higher seed sales prices to recoup costs and maximize profits as quickly as possible. This pricing model creates a considerable barrier for regions and producers with limited financial resources, thereby hindering the broader adoption of the technology across various geographical areas and crop varieties.

2. Seed retention and market competition

Prohibition of Seed Retention Clauses: Many genetically modified (GM) seed licensing agreements mandate that farmers purchase new seeds each planting season, prohibiting them from saving seeds from the previous year's harvest for future use. While this practice may be acceptable in economically developed regions, it poses a significant financial burden for small farmers in less developed countries, as the cost of purchasing new seeds annually can be substantial[19].Farmers' Rights and Patent Exhaustion The principle of patent exhaustion is well established in fields such as pharmaceuticals; however, it remains controversial in the seed industry. Given that crops naturally reproduce and regenerate, the challenge lies in protecting the legitimate interests of seed companies while respecting farmers' traditional rights, such as the right to save their own seeds. This issue has become a focal point of international discussions. Additionally, some countries and regions are exploring the concept of a right to save seed or are implementing exception clauses through legislation to balance the interests of farmers with corporate revenue.

3. Restrictions on Technology Diffusion and International Co-operation

Patent barriers elevate the technological threshold. Developing countries that wish to introduce or cultivate genetically modified (GM) crops often face the challenge of negotiating cross-border licenses for essential technologies. High costs and complex licensing agreements can create substantial resistance. Additionally, the lack of access to critical genes or gene-editing tools hampers research institutions' ability to conduct comprehensive research and development (R&D) independently, thereby limiting local technological innovation capacity.The 'North-South gap' and Sustainable Development: Patent monopolies have, to some extent, exacerbated the 'technological divide' between developed and developing countries. The latter often struggle to effectively adopt and implement genetically modified breeding technologies due to limited financial resources and weak negotiating power. As a result, they miss opportunities to enhance food production and resilience through technological innovation. This situation not only jeopardizes their own food security but also amplifies the risk of imbalances between global food supply and demand.

4. Possible Mitigation Paths and Policy Recommendations

Public Interest Research and Open Licensing: Governments or international organizations can establish special funds to support public interest research institutes in conducting genetically modified (GM) crop breeding. They should also encourage open or low-cost patent licensing within a defined scope to alleviate the burden on developing countries and small farmers. Differentiated Pricing and Local Breeding Capacity Building: In the context of global crop trade and seed industry collaboration, differentiated pricing mechanisms can be implemented to provide preferential patent licensing or seed supply programs for low- and middle-income countries. Simultaneously, local scientific researchers should be engaged in joint breeding efforts to enhance local technological capabilities and strengthen the supporting industrial chain. Reason: The revised text improves clarity, readability, and technical accuracy while correcting grammatical and punctuation errors.Balancing Mechanisms of Laws and International Treaties: The international community can negotiate exemptions or flexibilities for seed retention or compulsory licensing under specific conditions through platforms such as the Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) and the International Union for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants (UPOV) within the framework of the World Trade Organization

(WTO). This approach aims to reconcile the demands of patent protection with the imperative of food security[20].

Overall, there exists an inherent tension within the intellectual property (IP) system between the incentives for innovation and the potential for market monopolies in genetically modified (GM) technology. Identifying a pathway that safeguards the interests of research and development (R&D) stakeholders while preventing the excessive exclusion of disadvantaged groups or underdeveloped regions has become a critical issue that urgently requires attention in the widespread promotion of GM technology. Achieving an appropriate balance between patent protection and fair competition through concerted efforts in policy, legislation, and international cooperation will offer more sustainable technological support for global food security.

5. Strategies to address the food security challenges of transgenic technologies

In order to effectively address the challenges faced by GM technology in the area of food security, a comprehensive strategy is needed in the following four areas.

5.1 Strengthen technological research and development and scientific verification

Firstly, research institutes and enterprises should strive to enhance gene editing and transgenic breeding technologies, particularly in the areas of off-target detection sensitivity and ecological assessment precision. This involves the development of more accurate gene editing tools and the refinement of environmental impact assessment methods to ensure the safety and sustainability of transgenic crops.
Secondly, it is essential to establish a systematic and transparent scientific verification system. This system should incorporate long-term ecological monitoring, toxicological analyses, and multidisciplinary cross-cutting studies to provide sufficient empirical support for the safety of genetically modified (GM) crops. For instance, China has recently approved several gene-edited and transgenic crop varieties designed to enhance crop yields and ensure food security.

5.2 Enhancing Public Education and Science Communication

The sustainable promotion of genetically modified (GM) technology cannot be achieved without public understanding and support. Government departments, research institutions, and the media should collaborate to enhance science communication, enabling the public to acquire more objective and systematic knowledge. This can be accomplished through community engagement activities, organizing exhibitions on GM topics, and utilizing new media platforms to conduct interactive quizzes. For instance, several universities in the United Kingdom regularly host inviting community residents and students to learn more about the GM research process in their laboratories. This initiative has significantly increased local public awareness of the safety and value of GM technology.

At the same time, public concerns should be addressed promptly, and the spread of rumors and information asymmetry should be minimized through the establishment of a transparent information dissemination mechanism grounded in scientific evidence and professional risk communication. Only in an environment of dialogue and trust can genetically modified (GM) technology be widely accepted by society.

5.3 Building a Sound Legal and Regulatory Framework

In order to ensure the safe application of genetically modified (GM) technology, it is essential to establish a robust legal and regulatory framework.

The unification of regulations and standards: At the international level, there should be a concerted effort to promote the harmonization of safety assessment and labeling systems for genetically modified (GM) crops. International organizations, such as the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and the World Health Organization (WHO), can develop guiding principles to minimize trade friction resulting from inconsistent standards among countries.

Improve the traceability and labeling system: establish a comprehensive traceability system that tracks products from field to table, while simultaneously implementing clear and easy-to-understand genetically modified (GM) labels on packaging. This will safeguard consumers' right to know and their right to choose.

A comprehensive environmental risk assessment is essential prior to the approval of commercial planting. This process should include multi-tiered biosafety testing and an environmental impact assessment. Additionally, dynamic monitoring must be conducted throughout the entire planting process to enable timely adjustments and improvements to management measures.

For example, Japan has implemented a stringent safety review and product labeling system for the regulation of genetically modified (GM) foods to ensure consumers' right to know and to promote food safety.

5.4 Promoting International Co-operation and Technology Sharing

Developing countries often encounter significant challenges in the research and development (R&D) and implementation of genetically modified (GM) technology. These challenges include a lack of funding, insufficient technical expertise, and inadequate infrastructure. International organizations, such as the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR), and various international foundations, should assist these countries in establishing scientific research and breeding platforms. They can enhance local breeding capacity through scientific research projects, training exchanges, and financial support.

In addition, scientific research institutions and multinational corporations in developed countries should adopt a more open approach regarding intellectual property rights and technology transfer. By appropriately lowering technological thresholds and costs, more underdeveloped regions can gain access to high-quality breeding materials and technical guidance. Only through global technology sharing and collaborative innovation can the long-term challenge of food security be fundamentally addressed.

For instance, China has recently released guidelines to promote the development of biotechnology, aiming to create gene editing tools and breed new crop varieties to ensure food security and enhance agricultural technology.

In conclusion, by strengthening technological research and development, enhancing scientific verification, improving public education and scientific dissemination, establishing a robust legal and regulatory framework, and promoting international cooperation and technology sharing, the challenges posed by genetically modified technologies in the realm of food security can be effectively addressed. This approach will ensure that these technologies are safe and sustainable for the benefit of the global community.

6. Case Study: Genetically Modified Technologies in Practice to Address Food Security Issues

6.1 Successful Cases in Developing Countries

Promotion of Bt Cotton in India: Traditional cotton cultivation in India is frequently impacted by pests, such as whiteflies, which complicates efforts to enhance yield and quality. Since the introduction of Bt cotton, cotton yields have significantly increased in the initial stages, and the use of chemical pesticides has markedly decreased, leading to higher incomes for cotton farmers. However, this situation has also revealed new challenges, including the development of pest resistance and monopolistic pricing of seeds. This indicates that reliance on genetically modified (GM) technology alone is insufficient to address all the challenges within the industrial chain. Therefore, ongoing policy regulation and continuous research and development (R&D) are essential[21].

Project on Arid and Saline Areas in Africa: In certain arid and highly saline regions of Africa, the introduction of transgenic maize, rice, and other crops has led to a significant increase in stable crop yields. In pilot field experiments, multinational research teams have discovered that the average yield of these transgenic crops is 15 to 25 percent higher than that of conventional varieties in extreme environments. These practices offer valuable lessons for less developed regions in adapting to climate change and underscore the crucial role of international cooperation and technology sharing in the promotion of genetically modified organisms (GMOs)[22].

6.2 China's Exploration and Practice in GM Technology

As the most populous country in the world, China's need for food security is particularly urgent. In recent years, China has engaged in extensive exploration and implementation of genetically modified (GM) technology, achieving a series of significant breakthroughs. For instance, the transgenic insect-resistant rice varieties ‘Huazhou 1’ and ‘Bt Rice,’ developed by Huazhong Agricultural University, have been validated through field trials to increase crop yields by approximately 8% to 12% in pest-prone areas while reducing pesticide application by over 30%[23]. This achievement has led to large-scale demonstration plantings in Hubei, Hunan, and other regions, showcasing substantial economic and ecological benefits.

In addition, the insect-resistant transgenic maize ‘Jingke 968,’ developed by the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (CAAS), has undergone continuous testing and cultivation for many years in the Northeast region. Data from the test fields indicate that its yield has increased by approximately 10% to 15% on average[24]. Simultaneously, it has effectively reduced pesticide usage and the costs associated with pest control and prevention, thereby further safeguarding the stability of farmers' incomes.

To support the promotion and implementation of the technology, China has also made significant improvements to relevant laws and regulations. This includes the introduction of the Regulations on the

Safety Management of Agricultural Genetically Modified Organisms, along with other rules that govern the entire process of GM technology, from research and development to commercialization. Furthermore, the government has actively engaged in public science outreach to mitigate social misunderstandings and resistance, while enhancing the public's scientific understanding of GM technology[25].

Through these concrete practices, China has gradually explored a path for the development of genetically modified (GM) technology that aligns with its national conditions, providing an effective example and experience for addressing food security challenges.

7. Conclusion and outlook

7.1 Conclusions

Comprehensively analyzing this study's systematic examination of the challenges related to food security, the application of genetically modified (GM) technology, and the various obstacles it encounters, the following key conclusions can be drawn:

Genetically modified (GM) technology has significant potential advantages in addressing food security.

The potential of transgenic technology to enhance crop breeding efficiency, improve stress tolerance and environmental adaptation, and increase nutritional quality has been substantiated to a significant extent. For developing countries that lack access to high-quality breeding resources, genetically modified (GM) technology offers a crucial method for rapidly producing high-yielding and stress-resistant crops. This advancement can help tackle the challenges posed by water scarcity, soil degradation, and the proliferation of pests and diseases exacerbated by climate change. Additionally, emerging gene editing technologies, such as CRISPR/Cas9, have further reduced the breeding cycle, creating new avenues to combat hunger and poverty on a global scale.

Scientific Controversies and Social Risks

Despite the potential benefits of genetically modified (GM) technology, there are still risks and controversies at both ecological and social levels. On one hand, genetic drift, uncertain ecological impacts, and potential threats to the biodiversity of GM crops have not yet been fully assessed in all environmental contexts. On the other hand, the public has raised questions about the safety and ethical implications of GM foods. Information asymmetry and public opinion have contributed to significant resistance to large-scale commercialization. Balancing technological innovation with social acceptance through further scientific validation and risk assessment remains one of the core challenges in GM research and application.

Regulation and international cooperation are crucial.

In the context of globalization, food and agricultural trade are closely interconnected, making it imperative to establish cross-border and cross-regional safety assessment standards and regulatory systems. A robust and transparent traceability and labeling system can protect consumers' right to know and enhance market confidence. Additionally, international technical cooperation and data sharing can not only accelerate the research, development, and optimization of genetically modified (GM) crops but also promote the advancement of sustainable agricultural practices worldwide. This will have a profound impact on mitigating the food crisis and safeguarding both economic and ecological balance.

Therefore, the scientific community, policymakers, and the public must collaborate to ensure the healthy development and responsible application of GM technology.

7.2 Future Prospects

Under the impetus of a new wave of scientific and technological revolution, advancements in genomics, molecular biology, precision agriculture, artificial intelligence, and other emerging fields will enhance the efficiency and safety of transgenic breeding. Specifically, the application of high-throughput sequencing and synthetic biology will facilitate the screening and modification of target traits within a shorter timeframe, significantly improving crop yield, quality, and environmental adaptability. Furthermore, a precision agriculture system that integrates big data and artificial intelligence will optimize crop management and decision-making processes, reduce water and fertilizer inputs, minimize the use of chemical pesticides, and promote the efficient and sustainable utilization of agricultural resources and the environment.

Against the backdrop of climate change, population growth, and accelerated urbanization, no single technology can achieve a comprehensive breakthrough in addressing the food security challenge. Genetically modified (GM) technology should be integrated with other agricultural innovations, such as digital management, biological control, and ecological restoration, to create a holistic, multi-dimensional, and multi-level solution. By strategically planning planting systems, farmland ecosystems, and economic and social conditions, we can maximize the potential of GM technology in terms of yield enhancement, quality improvement, and loss reduction, all while prioritizing environmental protection and resource recycling.

At the same time, extensive and in-depth international cooperation and technology sharing will be crucial for establishing genetically modified (GM) technology in developing countries. Through global research and development (R&D) collaboration and the exchange of results, we can, on one hand, continue to enhance the safety assessment and regulatory systems for transgenic crops, thereby reducing ecological and social uncertainties. On the other hand, we can assist more underdeveloped regions in acquiring the necessary breeding technologies and production materials, thus creating new opportunities for poverty alleviation, nutritional improvement, and economic growth.

In conclusion, only through the full cooperation of the international community, robust institutional safeguards, and effective communication between the scientific community and the public can genetically modified (GM) technology play a significant role in ensuring food security and promoting sustainable development. Looking ahead, we must continue to enhance our understanding of GM technology and its environmental adaptation, while also fostering collaborative governance and social consensus. This approach will enable GM technology to serve as a solid foundation for addressing global food challenges.

References

[1]Food Security Information Network (FSIN), & Global Network Against Food Crises (GNAFC). (2024). GRFC 2024. Rome. Retrieved from https://www.fsinplatform.org/report/global-report-food-crises-2024/

[2]International Service for the Application of Agricultural Biotechnology(ISAAA),(2021).Global biotechnology/transgenic crop commercialisation dynamics in 2019. Chinese Journal of Bioengineering, 01, 114-119. https://doi.org/10.13523/j.cb.2012100

[3]IPCC. (2023). Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report. Geneva, Switzerland: IPCC. https://doi.org/10.59327/IPCC/AR6-9789291691647

[4]Rezaei, E.E., Webber, H., Asseng, S. et al. Climate change impacts on crop yields. Nat Rev Earth Environ 4, 831–846 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-023-00491-0

[5]Wang, S., Bai, X., Zhang, X. et al. Urbanization can benefit agricultural production with large-scale farming in China. Nat Food 2, 183–191 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-021-00228-6

[6]Andorf, C., Beavis, W.D., Hufford, M. et al. Technological advances in maize breeding: past, present and future. Theor Appl Genet 132, 817–849 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-019-03306-3

[7]Pratiksha, P., & Sharma, P. (2020). Status of Environmental Pollution in Rural Punjab and its Management. International Archive of Applied Science and Technology, 11(4), 98-104. https://doi.org/10.15515/iaast.0976-4828.11.4.98104

[8]Yao, Z. P., Cheng, Y., Wan, H. J., et al. (2017). Application of CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing technology in plant genetic engineering breeding. Molecular Plant Breeding, 15(7), 2647-2655. https://doi.org/10.13271/j.mpb.015.002647

[9]U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service (USDA ERS). (2024). Adoption of Genetically Engineered Crops in the United States - Recent Trends in GE Adoption. Retrieved from https://ers.usda.gov/data-products/adoption-of-genetically-engineered-crops-in-the-united-states/recent-trends-in-ge-adoption.aspx

[10]Krishak Jagat. (2024, October 2). The Journey of Bt Cotton in India: A Historical Overview and its Impact on Agriculture. Retrieved from https://www.en.krishakjagat.org/india-region/the-journey-of-bt-cotton-in-india-a-historical-overview-and-its-impact-on-agriculture/

[11]Khan, S., Anwar, S., Yu, S., Sun, M., Yang, Z., & Gao, Z. Q. (2019). Development of Drought-Tolerant Transgenic Wheat: Achievements and Limitations. International journal of molecular sciences, 20(13), 3350. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20133350

[12]Mallikarjuna Swamy, B.P., Marundan, S., Samia, M. et al. Development and characterization of GR2E Golden rice introgression lines. Sci Rep 11, 2496 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-82001-0

[13]Ofosu, R., Agyemang, E. D., Márton, A., Pásztor, G., Taller, J., & Kazinczi, G. (2023). Herbicide Resistance: Managing Weeds in a Changing World. Agronomy, 13(6), 1595. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13061595

[14]Bruce E Tabashnik, Jeffrey A Fabrick, Yves Carrière, Global Patterns of Insect Resistance to Transgenic Bt Crops: The First 25 Years, Journal of Economic Entomology, Volume 116, Issue 2, A

pril 2023, Pages 297–309, https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toac183
[15]Kranthi, K.R., Stone, G.D. Long-term impacts of Bt cotton in India. Nat. Plants 6, 188–196 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-0615-5
[16] Mou, W.Y., Jia, Yifan, Zhao, Zongchao, Guo, W.V. & Chen, F.J.. (2016). Research progress on the risk of exogenous gene drift in transgenic crops and its control technology. Journal of Ecology (01), 243-249. doi:10.13292/j.1000-4890.201601.033.
[17]Sikora, D., & Rzymski, P. (2021). Chapter 13 - Public Acceptance of GM Foods: A Global Perspective (1999–2019). In P. Singh, A. Borthakur, A. A. Singh, A. Kumar, & K. K. Singh (Eds.), Policy Issues in Genetically Modified Crops (pp. 293-315). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-820780-2.00013-3
[18]National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2016). Genetically engineered crops: Experiences and prospects. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/23395
[19]Granieri, M. (2016). Genetically Modified Seeds, Intellectual Property Protection and the Role of Law in Transnational Perspective. In: Bellantuono, G., Lara, F. (eds) Law, Development and Innovation. SxI - Springer for Innovation / SxI - Springer per l'Innovazione, vol 13. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-13311-9_6
[20]World Trade Organization. (1994). Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS Agreement). Geneva: WTO.
[21]Peshin, R., Hansra, B. S., Singh, K., Nanda, R., Sharma, R., Yangsdon, S., & Kumar, R. (2021). Long-term impact of Bt cotton: An empirical evidence from North India. Journal of Cleaner Production, 312, 127575. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127575
[22]Kumar, K., Gambhir, G., Dass, A. et al. Genetically modified crops: current status and future prospects. Planta 251, 91 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-020-03372-8
[23]Chen, X. J., He, S. L., Cheng, K. L., et al. (2003). Impact of transgenic Bt insect-resistant rice on the biological community of paddy fields. Journal of Sichuan Agricultural University, 21(2), 185-186.
[24]CHEN Chuan-Yong, ZHAO Jiuran, WANG Yuandong, WANG Ronghuan, XU Tianjun, LV Tianfang... & Cheng, Guanglei. (2018). Effects of nitrogen fertilizer reduction on nitrogen efficiency and yield of Jingke 968 and Zhengdan 958. Maize Science (03), 121-127. doi:10.13597/j.cnki.maize.science.20180320.
[25]State Council of the People's Republic of China. (2001). Regulations on Administration of Agricultural Genetically Modified Organisms Safety (Decree No. 304). Retrieved from https://faolex.fao.org/docs/pdf/chn52814E.pdf